Influence of spacing and fertilizer levels on biomass production of Salacia chinensis Linn.

Salacia chinensis Linn. is an important medicinal plant belonging to the family Hippocrateaceae. It is a small, erect or straggling tree or large, woody, climbing shrub found almost throughout India including Andaman and Nicobar Islands. It is also distributed in the Western Ghats of Kerala, Karnataka, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu and Goa and rarely seen in Eastern Ghats of Andhra Pradesh. It flowers during December and fruits during May. It grows in moist deciduous and evergreen forest at an altitude of 700-1500 m. The plant and its extracts have been evaluated for number of activities like anti-inflammatory, cardio-tonic, sedative, neuron-muscular thermogenic, urinary and astringent (Collene *et al.*, 2005). The demand for this plant is being sourced from wild populations. Hence, this study was initiated to assess the impact of fertilizer levels and spacing on early growth of *S. chinensis*.

A field experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station, Malagi of Mundgod, Uttara Kannada district of Karnataka. The place is situated at 14°38' N latitude and 75°00' E longitude at an altitude of 490 m above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam soil (KanHaplustalf). The soil was medium deep with fairly good drainage and average annual rainfall in the experimental area was 2175.5 mm. Major portion of the rainfall in the year was received from June to October. The mean annual temperature varied from 18 to 33°C. The April and May months were hottest while December and January were coldest.

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with the spacing $0.5 \ge 0.5 \ge 0.5 \ge 1$ and $1 \ge 1 \ge 1$ min main plot and fertilizer levels (Control, FYM – 10 tons/ha, 50:50:50 NPK/ha, FYM – 10 tons/ha + VAM- 5 g/plant, FYM – 10 tons/ha+50:50:50 NPK/ha, FYM – 10 tons/ha +50:100:50 NPK/ha) in subplot. Planting was taken up with one year old *S. chinensis* seedlings, which were procured from the College of Forestry, Sirsi, Karnataka. Fertilizer application was done after one month of planting. The plants were irrigated once in 20 days intervals. The weeding was carried out as and when required. Plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves were recorded at 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 month after planting.

Significantly higher plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves were recorded in 1 x 1 m spacing (33.26 cm, 6.52 mm and 19.8) followed by 1 x 0.5 m spacing (32.37 cm, 6.47 mm and

Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry College of Agroforestry, Sirsi -581 401 Email: somapalyagar@gmail.com 19.6) and these two treatments were significantly superior over $0.5 \ge 0.5 \le 0.5 \le$

Application of 10 tons of FYM + 50:100:50 NPK kg/ha recorded maximum plant height, collar and number of leaves (42.15 cm, 7.18 mm and 19.8) it was significantly superior over other treatments. Application of 10 tons of FYM + 50:50:50 NPK kg/ha recorded 37.43 cm, 6.82 mm and 19.4 of plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves, respectively. The minimum plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves were recorded in control (23.68 cm, 5.84 mm and 18.0) (Table 1). The increased plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves might be due to application of optimum quantity of N, P₂O₅, K₂O fertilizers, further nitrogen application might have influenced chlorophyll formation in the plants, which lead to improve the photosynthetic activity and resulted in vigorous vegetative growth and development of plant. These findings are in line with studies conducted in Acacia auriculiformis A. Cunn. ex Benth (Lamani et al., 2004), Prosopis cineraria Prosopis cineraria (L.) Druce (Jitendra et al., 2010), Terminalia arjuna (L.) (Singh, 2011), Populus deltoides W. Bartram ex Marsh. (Baljitsingh, 2001).

The interaction between spacing and nutrient levels recorded significant difference in plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves among treatments. Wider spacing $(1 \times 1 \text{ m})$ along with 10 tons of FYM + 50:100:50 NPK kg/ha recorded significantly maximum height over other treatments (43.28 cm, 7.27mm and 20.2) followed by 1 x 0.5 m with 10 tons of FYM + 50:50:50 NPK kg/ha (42.08 cm, 7.16 mm and 20.0). Significantly lower plant height, collar diameter and number of leaves were recorded in (0.5 x 0.5 m with control), i.e. closer spacing without any fertilizer application. The interaction effect has influenced the plant height rather than individual treatment effect. The main factor influence might be due to the combination of spacing and nutrient management. These findings are in line with findings of Baljitsingh, 2001 in *P. deltoids*.

J. SOMASHEKAR K. S. CHANNABASAPPA

(Recevied: July, 2013

Accepted: April, 2015)

;

I IVAUIIVIUS		Plant	height	(cm)			Num	Number of leaves	aves			Colla	Collar diameter	(mm)	
	2 MAT	4 MAT	6 MAT	8 MAT	10 MAT	2 MAT	4 MAT	6 MAT	8 MAT	10 MAT	2 MAT	4 MAT	6 MAT	8 MAT	10 MAT
Spacing (S)											1				
S_1 -1 m × 1 m	15.09	19.47	23.85	28.83	33.26	7.2	9.6	12.8	15.2	19.8	1.58	2.56	3.80	5.13	6.52
S_{2} -1 m × 0.5 m	14.08	18.47	22.87	27.38	32.37	7.4	9.2	12.6	14.8	19.6	1.54	2.51	3.76	5.10	6.47
S_{3} - 0.5 m × 0.5 m	13.07	17.45	21.66	26.21	30.53	7.8	9.0	12.2	14.6	19.2	1.51	2.46	3.71	5.05	6.41
S.Em.±	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.003
C.D. at 5%	0.10	0.14	0.20	0.13	0.15	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.028	0.011	0.013	0.003	0.011
Fertilizer levels (F)															
F ₁ - Control	12.17	16.55	18.60	21.54	23.68	7.4	9.4	11.8	14.6	18.0	1.21	2.12	3.43	4.73	5.84
F_2 - FYM-10 tons/ha	13.06	17.44	20.74	23.85	27.83	7.8	10.0	12.2	15.2	18.4	1.32	2.28	3.54	4.85	6.13
F ₃ - 50:50:50 NPK, kg/ha	13.70	18.09	21.90	25.83	29.45	8.0	10.2	12.6	15.4	18.8	1.46	2.43	3.67	4.93	6.35
F_4 -FYM-10 tons/ha + VAM-5g/plant	14.16	18.53	22.90	27.79	31.77	8.2	10.6	12.8	15.6	19.2	1.55	2.54	3.80	5.20	6.48
F ₅ - FYM-10 tons/ha + 50:50:50 NPK, kg/ha	15.30	19.71	25.25	30.82	37.43	8.4	11.0	13.0	16.0	19.4	1.76	2.72	3.94	5.34	6.82
F ₆ -FYM-10 tons/ha + 50:100:50 NPK, kg/ha	16.08	20.46	27.39	34.99	42.15	8.8	11.4	13.2	16.4	19.8	1.97	2.94	4.16	5.49	7.18
S.Em.±	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.009	0.006	0.005	0.001	0.007
C.D. at 5%	0.09	0.08	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.13	0.03	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.003	0.019
Interactions (S x F)															
S ₁ x F ₁	13.06	17.44	19.55	23.96	26.09	7.4	9.6	12.0	14.8	18.2	1.24	2.21	3.47	4.78	5.89
S ₁ ×F ₂	14.02	18.42	21.71	24.83	29.15	7.8	10.2	12.6	15.4	18.8	1.35	2.32	3.58	4.88	6.16
$S_1 \times F_3$	14.70	19.09	22.90	26.85	30.69	8.0	10.4	12.8	15.6	19.0	1.49	2.46	3.72	4.97	6.39
$S_1 \times F_4$	15.20	19.59	23.96	29.17	32.92	8.2	10.6	13.0	15.8	19.2	1.58	2.58	3.84	5.24	6.52
$S_1 \times F_5$	16.34	20.72	26.25	31.82	39.42	8.4	11.0	13.4	16.2	19.4	1.78	2.79	3.98	5.37	6.90
$S_1 \times F_6$	17.20	21.59	28.74	36.34	43.28	9.0	11.4	13.8	16.6	20.2	2.04	2.98	4.23	5.55	7.27
$S_2 \times F_1$	12.24	16.62	18.55	20.85	22.99	6.8	9.2	11.6	14.4	17.8	1.20	2.10	3.34	4.73	5.83
$S_2 \times F_2$	13.09	17.46	20.75	23.87	28.19	7.2	9.6	12.0	14.8	18.2	1.31	2.29	3.54	4.86	6.13
$S_2 \times F_3$	13.77	18.15	21.96	25.98	29.83	7.4	9.8	12.2	15.2	18.4	1.46	2.43	3.68	4.95	6.35
$S_2 \ge r F_4$	14.11	18.49	22.86	27.94	31.69	7.6	10.0	12.4	15.4	18.8	1.55	2.54	3.80	5.20	6.48
$S_2 \times F_5$	15.35	19.73	25.26	30.83	37.42	8.0	10.4	12.8	15.8	19.6	1.75	2.74	3.95	5.34	6.85
$S_2 \times F_6$	16.03	20.38	27.87	34.81	42.08	8.6	10.8	13.2	16.0	20.0	1.98	2.94	4.17	5.49	7.16
$S_3 \times F_1$	11.21	15.59	17.70	19.83	21.96	6.0	8.4	11.0	13.8	17.6	1.19	2.06	3.40	4.69	5.79
$S_3 \times F_2$	12.09	16.43	19.05	22.84	26.16	6.4	8.8	11.4	14.2	18.0	1.29	2.24	3.51	4.82	6.09
$S_3 \times F_3$	12.61	17.04	20.85	24.66	27.83	6.6	9.0	11.6	14.6	18.4	1.43	2.40	3.62	4.91	6.30
$S_3 \ge F_4$	13.12	17.50	21.87	26.28	30.70	6.8	9.2	11.8	14.8	18.8	1.52	2.50	3.75	5.17	6.43
$S_3 \times F_5$	14.39	18.71	24.24	29.81	35.42	7.2	9.6	12.4	15.0	19.4	1.76	2.65	3.90	5.30	6.73
$S_3 \times F_6$	15.08	19.40	25.55	33.82	41.09	7.6	10.0	12.8	15.6	19.8	1.89	2.90	4.09	5.43	7.11
S.Em.±	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.016	0.010	0.008	0.002	0.011
C.D. at 5%	0 1 2	0 14	LC 0	0 2 4	0.21	200	200	20 02	0 1 0				0000		0000

Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 28(2): 2015

Influence of spacing and fertilizer levels......

References

- Baljit Singh, 2001, Influence of fertilization and spacing in growth and nutrient uptake Poplar (*Populus deltoides*) nursery. *Indian For.*, 127(1): 111-114.
- Collene, A. L., Hertzler, S. R. and Williams, J. A., 2005, Effects of nutritional supplement containing *Salacia oblonga* extract and insulinogenic amino acids on post prandial glycemia, insulinemia, and breath hydrogen responses in healthy adults. *Nutrition*, 21: 848-854.
- Jitendra K. Shukla and Pawan K. Kasera, 2010. Effect of nutritional treatments on growth parameters, bark and biomass yield of *Prosopis cineraria*. J. Tree Sci., 25(1): 21-26.
- Lamani, V. K., Patil, S. K., Manjunath, G. O., 2004, Growth of *Acacia auriculiformis* as influenced by NP and K fertilizer. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 17 (4): 872-874.
- Singh, S. B., 2011, Comparative response of organic carbon and fertilizer source of Nutrients to *Terminalia arjunain* waterlogged- sodic soil. *Indian For.*, 28(2): 893-897.