Determining path of productivity in double cross F_1 populations belonging to within and between heterotic group crosses in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)

VINAYAK EDKE AND S.S. PATIL

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580 005, Karnataka, India Email-vinayakedke.61@gmail.com

(Received: July, 2016 ; Accepted: March, 2017)

Abstract: The study was initiated to exploit heterotic groups for varietal development in cotton. The Single cross F_1 s representing different heterotic groups *viz.*, stay green (SG), robust (ROB), RGR and compact (COM) were used as parents in diallel (half) mating programme to get within and between double cross F_1 populations. The main objective of this study was identify the possible role of all characters contributing towards seed cotton yield along with assessing superiority and inferiority of genotypes by comparing their performance of most and least productive double cross F_1 populations. Top five potential double cross F_1 populations as well as bottom five double cross F_1 populations were considered for calculating the per cent deviation of genotypes from group mean. Top five potential double crosses had positive value for seed cotton yield, number of bolls per plant, lint yield, number of monopodia per plant, sympodial length at 50 per cent height, reproductive points on sympodia, seed index, lint index and inter branch distance. This information on path of productivity helps to understand the yield traits associated with high and low productivity and helps to achieve desired level of productivity.

Key words: Compact, Cotton, Robust, Stay green

Introduction

Cotton is the most precious gift of nature to mankind. Right from earliest phase of human civilization when it helped to differentiate man from animals clothing from cotton has shown direct impact on culture and growth of human civilization. India is hub of cotton and it achieved first position in cotton production in world.

Cotton as a crop is unique with respect to dynamic nature of its ecosystem. The decade of successful Bt cotton cultivation witnessed the popularisation of Bt cotton hybrids especially by private sectors, the recent development in the form of pink boll worm acquiring resistance to Bt gene and consistently increasing labour cost has brought back focus on varietal development (Mohan *et al.*, 2015). This change in focus marked by empowering farmer to reuse his seeds and there by helps in reducing cost of cotton cultivation.

Concept of development of heterotic groups has played important role in hybrid development of maize. Heterotic groups basically helps in identifying genetically diverse varieties or inbreds based on performance of hybrids. The diversity existing between heterotic groups has been exploited to get better hybrids and many schemes of population improvement have been used that facilitate to enhance the diversity and thereby get more potential hybrids, this concept can be extended to self pollinated crops because the need of diversity enhances heterosis same irrespective of whether a crop is self or cross pollinated (Ajjappa *et al.*, 2009).

The crossing between the two heterotic groups which increased recombination in populations can lead to novel rearrangements of alleles and greater genotypic diversity. In cotton heterotic group like stay green, robust, RGR and compact are inter-crossed to develop best heterotic F_1 , it can also be

utilized for development of varieties. The multiple inter crosses are made between heterotic groups and these multi-crossed F_1 populations (double cross F_1 populations) were evaluated for genetic potentiality for development variety.

In the present study, top five potential double cross F_1 populations as well as bottom five double cross F_1 populations were considered for calculating the per cent deviation of genotypes from group mean. With the help of this, it is possible to identify the role of different traits contributing to the superiority and inferiority of genotypes

Material and methods

Initially the material was generated for exploiting opposite heterotic groups by development of potential hybrids. These heterotic groups are found to be highly potential and diverse. Single cross F_1 s was developed by crossing within group potential genotypes. These single cross F_1 s used as parents in Diallel mating design to obtain within and between group double crosses. The potentialities of double cross populations were compared.

During 2014-15 seven single cross F_1 s *viz.*, SG 79-6 x SG 35, SG 79-6 X SG 95-6, RGR 257-2 X RGR 370, RGR 20 X RGR 90, ROB 8 X ROB 50-1, DSC 75-8 X DSC 27-4 and DSC 7 X DSC 19 were crossed in all possible combinations (half diallel method)

Parents	5	Heterotic group
RGR 257-2	RGR 370	RGR
RGR 20	RGR 90	RGR
DSC 75-8	DSC 27-4	СОМ
SG 79-6	SG 35	SG
ROB 8	ROB50-1	ROB
SG 79-6	SG95-6	SG
DSC 7	DSC 19	СОМ

J. Farm Sci., 30(1): 2017

to develop double cross F_1 s. These crosses were sown in *kharif*, 2014-15 at Agricultural Research Station, Dharwad.

Twenty one double cross F_1 s and their seven parents (single cross F_1 s) were sown under rain fed condition during *kharif*, 2015 in a randomized block design (RBD) with two replications each row was sown at a spacing of 90 cm between the rows and 45 cm spacing given between two plants within a row and row length of 4.80 m was maintained. Observations were recorded on 15 randomly selected plants of each entry. 14 different quantitative characters were studied in these double crosses.

Out of 21 double crosses top 5 potential double cross F_1 populations as well as bottom five double cross F_1 populations were considered for calculating the per cent deviation of genotypes from group mean. The per cent deviation of the traits observed in these potential genotypes were calculated by using the following formula (Kencharaddi *et al.*, 2015)

Results and discussion

The superiority of potential genotypes were depends on their performances with mean of all genotypes and expressed as deviation from this group mean. These per cent deviation values gives crucial information regarding important yield contributing traits responsible for superior yield or productivity seen in the group as well as in superior genotypes. This approach helps to identify diverse genotypes.

In the present study, top five potential double crosses populations as well as bottom five double crosses populations were considered for calculating the per cent deviation of parents (single cross F_1 s representing different heterotic groups) from group mean. With the help of this study, efforts were made to identify the possible role of different traits contributing to the superiority and inferiority of double cross populations belonging to different heterotic groups.

Per cent mean deviation of the top five and least five double cross F, populations performance from the group mean are shown in Table 1 and 2 respectively. The top five performing double cross F₁ population showed positive value for seed cotton yield (23.94%), number of bolls per plant (22.61%), lint yield (17.23%), number of monopodia per plant (5.70%), sympodial length at 50 per cent height (2.49%), reproductive points on sympodia (3.46%), seed index (4.66%), lint index (2.35%) and inter branch distance (1.01%) The double cross F_1 populations showed negative per cent deviation for number of sympodia per plant (-6.22%), ginning outturn (-1.18%), inter boll distance (-0.82%), plant height (-3.35%) and boll weight (-0.45%). In case of percent deviation from parental mean, the top five performing double cross F, population showed positive value for most of characters except reproductive points on sympodia (-18.36%), number of sympodia per plant (-12.41%) and ginning outturn (-0.73%).

Lint	Plant	No.of	No. of	Reproductive	Sympodial	Inter	Inter	Boll	Ginning	Seed	Lint	Number of
yield	height	mono	Sympodia	points on	length	boll	branch	weight	outturn	index	index	bolls per
q ha ⁻¹)	(cm)	podia	per plant	sympodia	at 50%	distance	distance	(g)	(%)	(g)	(g)	plant
	d	er plant			height (cm)	(cm)	(cm)					
7.07	110.85	2.28	17.77	5.93	38.72	6.63	7.97	3.92	33.87	6.61	3.55	27.40
7.23	127.00	2.08	16.69	6.41	61.16	9.60	7.39	3.07	36.24	8.51	4.85	33.86
5.28	119.07	2.34	17.78	5.21	45.89	8.86	9.29	4.04	34.61	8.55	4.53	19.06
6.01	111.67	2.44	15.67	5.40	37.34	6.94	8.89	3.67	35.67	7.67	4.25	23.27
5.67	122.00	2.25	15.05	6.89	35.67	5.18	7.12	4.02	35.68	7.82	4.35	20.05
6.25	118.12	2.28	16.59	5.96	43.76	7.44	8.13	3.74	35.21	7.83	4.30	24.73
5.33	122.21	2.15	17.69	5.76	42.65	7.50	8.05	3.76	35.89	7.48	4.21	20.17
17.23	-3.35	5.70	-6.22	3.46	2.60	-0.82	1.01	-0.45	-1.88	4.66	2.35	22.61
4.38	125.76	2.24	18.94	7.3	41.83	5.94	5.94	3.6	35.47	7.19	4.07	17.26
42.69	-6.08	1.79	-12.41	-18.36	4.61	25.25	36.87	3.89	-0.73	8.90	5.65	43.28
- <u> </u>	na ¹) 07 01 23 33 33 33 25 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	na ⁻¹) (cm) 07 110.85 23 127.00 28 119.07 67 122.00 67 122.00 25 118.12 33 122.21 33 122.21 33 122.76 38 125.76 .69 -6.08	nar.) (cm) podia 07 110.85 2.28 23 127.00 2.08 28 119.07 2.34 01 111.67 2.44 67 122.00 2.25 33 122.21 2.15 33 122.23 -3.35 38 122.76 2.24 38 125.76 2.24 56 -6.08 1.79	na ⁻¹) (cm) podia per plant 07 110.85 2.28 17.77 23 127.00 2.08 16.69 28 119.07 2.34 17.78 01 111.67 2.44 15.67 67 122.00 2.25 15.05 25 118.12 2.28 16.59 33 122.21 2.15 17.69 33 122.21 2.15 17.69 33 122.76 2.24 18.94 38 125.76 2.24 18.94 56 -6.08 1.79 -12.41	narbound podia per plant sympodia 07 110.85 2.28 17.77 5.93 23 127.00 2.08 16.69 6.41 28 119.07 2.34 17.78 5.21 01 111.67 2.44 15.67 5.40 67 122.00 2.25 15.05 6.89 33 122.20 2.25 15.05 6.89 33 122.21 2.15 17.69 5.76 33 122.57 2.24 18.94 7.3 38 125.76 2.24 18.94 7.3 56 -6.08 1.79 -12.41 -18.36	nar)(cm)podraper plantsympodraat 50% 07110.852.2817.775.9338.7223127.002.0816.696.4161.1628119.072.3417.785.2145.8901111.672.4415.675.4037.3467122.002.2515.056.8935.6733122.212.1517.695.7642.6533122.212.1517.695.7642.6533122.212.1517.695.762.6033125.762.2418.947.341.8369-6.081.79-12.41-18.364.61	nar)(cm)podraper plantsympodraat 50% distance07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.6323127.002.0816.696.4161.169.6028119.072.3417.785.2145.898.8601111.672.4415.675.4037.346.9467122.002.2515.056.8935.675.1833122.212.1517.695.7642.657.5033122.212.1517.695.7642.657.5033122.212.1517.695.7642.657.5033122.512.1517.695.7642.657.5033122.512.1517.695.7642.657.5038125.762.2418.947.341.835.9456-6.081.79-12.41-18.364.6125.25	nar)(cm)podraper plantsympodraat 50% distancedistancedistance07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.637.9723127.002.0816.696.4161.169.607.3928119.072.3417.785.2145.898.869.2901111.672.4415.675.4037.346.948.8967122.002.2515.056.8935.675.187.1223133122.212.1517.695.7643.767.448.1333122.212.1517.695.7643.767.448.1333122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.0533125.762.2418.947.123.462.60-0.821.0138125.762.2418.947.341.835.945.945.9456.081.79-6.223.462.60-0.821.0138125.762.2418.947.341.835.945.9456.081.79-12.41-18.364.6125.2536.87	nar)(cm)podraperplantsympodraat 50% distancedistance(g)07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.637.973.9223127.002.0816.696.4161.169.607.393.0728119.072.3417.785.2145.898.869.294.0401111.672.4415.675.4037.346.948.893.6767122.002.2515.056.8935.675.187.124.0233122.212.1517.695.7643.767.448.133.7433122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7633122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7633122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7633122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7633122.512.1618.947.124.023.7638125.762.2418.947.341.835.945.945.9436-6.081.79-12.41-18.364.6125.2536.873.67	nar)(cm)podraper plantsympodraat 50% distancedistance(g) $(\%)$ 07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.637.973.9233.8723127.002.0816.696.4161.169.607.393.0736.2428119.072.3417.785.2145.898.869.294.0434.6131111.672.4415.675.4037.346.948.893.6735.675518.122.2816.595.9643.767.448.133.6735.6833122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7635.8933122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7635.8933122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7635.8933122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7635.8933122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.7635.8938125.762.2418.947.34.1835.945.943.6735.4738125.762.2418.947.34.1835.945.943.6735.4738125.762.2418.947.34.1835.945.943.635.4739-6.081.	nar)(cm)poduaper plantsympodiaat 50% distancedistance(g)(\%)(g)07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.637.973.9233.876.6123127.002.0816.696.4161.169.607.393.0736.248.5128119.072.3417.785.2145.898.869.294.0434.618.5526111.672.4415.675.4037.346.948.893.673.677.6757122.002.2515.056.8935.677.448.133.7435.5677.6756118.122.2810.6595.9643.767.448.133.7435.5677.6753122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.767.8333122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.767.8333122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.767.8333122.212.1517.695.7642.657.508.053.767.8333122.212.1518.947.34.1835.945.945.945.767.4833125.762.2418.947.35.945.945.945.945.945.945.945.945.947.1936 <td>nar)(cm)podraper plantsympodraat 50%distancedistance(g)(%)(g)(g)(g)07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.637.973.9233.876.613.5523127.002.0816.696.4161.169.607.393.0736.248.514.8528119.072.3417.785.2145.898.869.294.0434.618.554.5367122.002.2515.056.8937.346.948.893.673.5677.674.2567122.002.2515.056.8935.677.448.133.7435.577.824.3533122.212.1517.695.764.3.767.124.0235.687.824.3533122.212.1517.695.764.2.657.508.053.767.834.3033122.212.1517.695.764.2.657.508.053.767.834.3033122.212.1517.695.764.1.835.945.945.945.945.945.367.3533122.212.1517.695.764.1.835.945.945.945.945.945.376.652.3533122.212.187.124.023.5677.674.055.367.384.30</td>	nar)(cm)podraper plantsympodraat 50% distancedistance(g)(%)(g)(g)(g)07110.852.2817.775.9338.726.637.973.9233.876.613.5523127.002.0816.696.4161.169.607.393.0736.248.514.8528119.072.3417.785.2145.898.869.294.0434.618.554.5367122.002.2515.056.8937.346.948.893.673.5677.674.2567122.002.2515.056.8935.677.448.133.7435.577.824.3533122.212.1517.695.764.3.767.124.0235.687.824.3533122.212.1517.695.764.2.657.508.053.767.834.3033122.212.1517.695.764.2.657.508.053.767.834.3033122.212.1517.695.764.1.835.945.945.945.945.945.367.3533122.212.1517.695.764.1.835.945.945.945.945.945.376.652.3533122.212.187.124.023.5677.674.055.367.384.30

nong 21 double cross hybrids	of Reproductive Sympodial Inter Inter Boll Ginning Seed Lint Number of	podia points on length boll branch weight outturn index index bolls per	plant sympodia at 50% distance distance (g) (%) (g) (g) plant	height (cm) (cm) (cm)	.40 7.01 48.62 6.94 7.83 3.50 36.34 7.29 4.17 18.93	.50 5.32 50.40 9.47 8.26 4.55 37.53 7.37 4.43 14.38	.58 6.73 42.96 6.39 8.47 4.03 36.41 7.72 4.46 15.85	.02 4.96 43.15 8.70 8.79 3.78 35.02 7.62 4.17 15.06	.00 5.28 43.49 8.23 8.97 4.24 38.68 6.80 4.36 13.10	.70 5.86 45.73 7.95 8.46 4.02 36.79 7.36 4.32 15.46	.69 5.76 42.65 7.50 8.05 3.76 35.89 7.48 4.21 20.17	71 1.66 7.22 5.90 5.15 6.82 2.53 -1.68 2.74 -23.32	.94 7.3 41.83 5.94 5.94 3.6 35.47 7.19 4.07 17.26		22 -10.23 0.32 33.84 42.42 11.67 3.72 2.36 6.14 -10.43
		N N	Se												
	Inter	branch	distanc	(cm)	7.83	8.26	8.47	8.79	8.97	8.46	8.05	5.15	5.94		47,47
	Inter	boll	distance	(cm)	6.94	9.47	6.39	8.70	8.23	7.95	7.50	5.90	5.94		33 84
hybrids	Sympodial	length	at 50%	height (cm)	48.62	50.40	42.96	43.15	43.49	45.73	42.65	7.22	41.83		932
1 double cross	Reproductive	points on	sympodia		7.01	5.32	6.73	4.96	5.28	5.86	5.76	1.66	7.3		-1973
rids among 2	No. of	Sympodia	per plant		18.40	17.50	20.58	16.02	21.00	18.70	17.69	5.71	18.94		-1.27
cross hybi	No.of	mono	podia	per plant	1.62	2.04	1.68	2.46	1.92	1.94	2.15	-9.89	2.24		-13 39
ve double	Plant	height	(cm)		124.89	141.67	138.84	133.24	123.13	132.35	122.21	8.30	125.76		5.24
f bottom fi	Lint	yield	(q ha ⁻¹)		4.69	4.97	4.54	3.84	4.37	4.48	5.33	-15.97	4.38		2.28
uctivity o.	Seed	cotton	yield	(q ha ⁻¹)	12.93	12.86	12.58	11.20	10.91	12.10	14.95	-19.08	12.22		-0.98
Table 2. Path of prod	Crosses				6x7	5x7	2x6	1x2	4x5	Bottom 5 mean	Overall mean	Percent deviation	Parental mean	Percent deviation	from parental mean

The top five performing double cross F₁s showed the highest positive value for seed cotton yield, number of bolls per plant, lint yield, number of monopodia per plant, sympodial length at 50 per cent height, reproductive points on sympodia, seed index, lint index and inter branch distance, whereas negative values were observed in case of reproductive points on the sympodia and ginning outturn (%). These negative deviations for number of sympodia per plant, ginning outturn, inter boll distance, plant height and boll weight observed but this minor variation did not affect yield of potential crosses. Negative deviations for inter boll distance represents compactness or good packaging of bolls is desirable than loose packing of bolls. Similarly Ranganatha and Patil (2015) reported that compact packages of bolls increases the seed cotton yield. Similar results were noticed by Deepak (2002) for plant height, ginning out turn.

The least potential double cross F₁ populations showed negative values for seed cotton yield (-19.08%), number of bolls per plant (-23.32%), lint yield (-15.97%), number of monopodia per plant (-9.89%) and seed index (-1.68%). Double cross F₁ populations showed positive per cent deviation for plant height (8.30%), sympodial length at 50 per cent height (7.22%), inter boll distance (7.22%), boll weight (6.82%), inter branch distance (5.15%), number of sympodia per plant (5.71%), lint index (2.74%), ginning outturn (2.53%) and reproductive points on sympodia (1.66%), In case of percent deviation from parental mean, the top five performing double cross F₁ population showed positive value for most of characters except number of reproductive points on sympodia (-19.73%), number of monopodia per plant (-13.39%), number of bolls per plant (-10.43%), number of sympodia per plant (-1.27%), seed cotton yield (-0.98%) and ginning outturn (-0.73%).

This negative deviation for yield influencing traits like number of bolls per plant and lint yield were strong factors for reduced seed cotton yield in these double crosses populations. Double crosses showed positive per cent deviation for number of sympodia per plant, seed index, lint index, plant height, sympodial length at 50 per cent height, inter boll distance, boll weight, inter branch distance and ginning outturn. Similar results observed by Kenchreddi *et al.* (2015) seed cotton yield, number of bolls per plant, lint yield and lint index. Altaher and Singh (2003), Sharma *et al.* (2006), Ahuja *et al.* (2008) and Dothi *et al.* (2008) observed in case of plant height.

Path of productivity has indicated that best double cross F_1 populations belonging to different within and between heterotic group crosses and also showed potentiality of these double crosses over parents. Hence present study helps to understand role of different traits on seed cotton yield. For example Negative deviations for inter boll distance represents compactness or good packaging of bolls and positive deviations for number of bolls per plant indicates increase in number of bolls per plant favourable to increase seed cotton yield. This gives crucial information for further breeding programme.

J. Farm Sci., 30(1): 2017

References

- Ahuja, S. L., Dhayal, L. S. and Prakash, R., 2008, Comparative yield component analysis in *Gossypium hirsutum* parents using ûber quality grouping. *Euphytica*, 161: 391–399
- Ajjappa, S., Shanthkumar, G., Salimath, P. M. and Sridevi, O., 2009, Assessment of productive segregants in single and double cross F₃ populations of bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 22 (5): 951-954.
- Altaher, A. F. and Singh, R. P., 2003, Yield components analysis in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). J. Indian Soc. Cotton Improv., 28(3): 151–157.
- Deepak, 2002, Genetic studies on potentially of herbaceum cotton genotypes in diverse situations. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis,* Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad.
- Dothi Haan, Ravikesavan, R. and Iyanar, 2008, Genetic advance and heritability as a selection index for improvement of yield and quality cotton. *J. Cotton Res. Dev.*, 21 (1): 14-18.

- Kencharaddi, H. G., Hanchinal, R. R. and Patil, S. S., 2015. Determination of path of productivity in derived F₁ involving diverse heterotic groups of cotton (*G. hirsutum*). Green farming., 6(3): 443-447.
- Mohan, K. S., Kadanur, C., Rav, K. C., Suresh, P. J., Sumerford, D. and Graham, P. H., 2015, Field resistance to the Bacillus thuringiensis protein Cry1Ac expressed in Bollgard hybrid cotton in pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders), populations in India. *Pest Mgmt. Sci.*, 72(4) 738-746.
- Ranganatha, H. M. and Patil, S. S., 2015, Path of productivity analysis for seed cotton yield in upland cotton *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Envi. Ecology.*, 33: 840-843.
- Sharma, J. K., Parsai, G. S. and Mishra, U. S., 2006, Correlation and path co-efficient analysis in rainfed hybrid cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) as influenced by boron application. J. Cotton Res. Dev., 20(1): 18–24.